

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,225	03/26/2001	Peter Hawkins	109068	5800
25944	7590 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			DO, PENSEE T	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1641	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/816,225	HAWKINS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pensee T. Do	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2004.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are pending in the applie 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the office that the off	, -,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in Apele priority documents have been abureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or PTO-1</li></ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Amendment entry and Claims Status**

The amendment filed on December 21, 2004 has been acknowledged and entered. Claims 9-13 are pending.

## Withdrawn Rejection (s)

Rejection under 102 in the previous office action is withdrawn herein.

## New Ground(s) of Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A functional group or a binder conjugated to the magnetic particles in step (b) of claim 1 is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The specification of the present invention, on page 6, lines 5-10, discloses that the magnetic particles are bound to second molecules which bind with the layer of molecules on the substrate so as to bind the magnetic particles to the substrate". However, these second molecules are not recited in claim 1. These second molecules are critical to the present invention because they bind covalently to the monolayer of molecules on the substrate as to capture the magnetic labels. Covalent interaction is a stable binding interaction. Thus, the magnetic

Art Unit: 1641

particles bind to the molecules on the substrate through the second molecules would be stably captured on the substrate.

Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) nature of the invention, (2) the state of the prior art, (3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, (4) the amount of direction or guidance present, (5) the presence or absence of working examples, (6) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (7) the relative skill of those in the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The nature of the invention: - the instant invention is directed to a method of performing a binding assay by determining the number of magnetic particles bound to a substrate; the method comprising immobilizing a layer of molecules to a substrate, providing the magnetic particles as labels; performing a reaction using the molecular layer so as to bind at least some of the magnetic particles to the substrate; and determining the number of magnetic particles bound to the substrate by determining the difference in resonant frequency of a tuned circuit when the magnetic particles are exposed to a magnetic field generated by the tuned circuit.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,225

Art Unit: 1641

<u>The state of the art</u>: - the prior art fails to teach a method of binding the magnetic particles (non-bound) as labels to the molecules of substrate.

<u>The predictability or lack thereof in the art:</u>- in view of the lack of teachings in the prior art that show or suggests that the magnetic particles can bind to the molecules on the substrate, the level of predictability is low.

<u>The amount of direction or guidance present:</u> - the instant specification fails to provide guidance on how to bind the magnetic particles directly to the molecules on the substrate without using any second binding molecules or functional groups that bind to the molecules on the substrate.

The presence or absence of working examples:- there is no examples in the specification that how to bind the magnetic particles directly to the molecules on the substrate without using any second binding molecules or functional groups that bind to the molecules on the substrate.

The quantity of experimentation necessary: - it would require an undue amount of experimentation for a skilled artisan to make and use the invention as claimed since it is well known in the art that in order to attach a magnetic particle to a molecule on a substrate, binding between the magnetic particle and the molecule must occur directly through a functional group or indirectly through a second molecule that covalently binds to the molecule on the substrate.

The relative skill of those in the art: The level of skill in the art is high.

<u>The breadth of the claims</u>:- the claimed method is drawn to binding magnetic particles to a monolayer of molecules on a substrate and detecting the number of magnetic particles bound thereto by using a tuned circuit.

The specification, on page 6, lines 5-10, teaches that the magnetic particles can bind to the monolayer of molecules directly. However, it fails to teach an example of how the magnetic particles can bind to the monolayer of molecules since the magnetic particles do not possess any functional groups or attachment means that would bind to the monolayer of molecules. Such functional groups or attachment means are important because in order for the interaction of the magnetic particles and the molecules on the monolayer to be stable for detection, the magnetic particles must bind covalently or stably interact with the molecules on the monolayer to avoid dissociation of the interactions. Thus, functional groups are needed because the functional groups can stably interact with the functional groups on the molecules of the monolayer.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Claims 9-13 are free of prior arts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pensee T. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-0819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,225 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pensee T. Do Patent Examiner March 21, 2005

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 /64/

Christop L. Chin